Follow Us: A Social Media Discussion

from *In Their Territory*:

Best Practices of Social Media Use in the College Admission Process

Communication Team Plan for College/University Office of Admission

Effective Admission Social Media Communication Plan

- Planned communication content timeline
- Frequency plan
- · Consistent layout/design for multiple platforms
- Addressing negativity
 - Ethics conversation
- Time
 - Posting
 - Monitoring
 - Conversing with audience
 - Moderation is key
- Multiple authors
 - Expand to student voices? Faculty?
- Marketing social media when content is prepared
 - Via word-of-mouth, e-mail, mailings, etc.
- Amplify: social media is supplementary to traditional, proven forms of communication
 - Social media cannot be the only form of communication for any particular content until it
 is proven that every member of the audience (prospective students) has easy, immediate
 access to social media
 - Parents and guardians may not access social media in the same way or with the same frequency; be aware of the "digital divide" between students and parents/guardians as well as between different families
- Cost-effective
 - 2011-2012 study (Barnes & Lescault, 2012)
 - 570 interviewed institutions, spent 33% less on printing

	Evaluation of Admission Communication Plan		
Social Media Plan	(Satisfactory or Needs Improvement)		
Communication			
Timeline			
Frequency Plan			
Consistent Layout			
Addressing Negativity			
Posting Time			
Monitoring			
Conversing with Users			
Moderation			
Multiple Authors			
SM Marketing			
	Be mindful that SM is always an amplifier, not the primary		
Amplification Check	instrument		

•		
Food for thought:		
social media sites) • Larger social media p • Collaboration	amongst offices/departments on campus; ming to make the college's social media const	onthly social media lunch
institutions (Elam, Str o Promote servi general intere	eration of students tends to be invested in so- catton & Gibson, 2007) ce learning, spring break trips, etc. on Twitt sts of the students with whom we work elp students understand the mission statement & Hawes, 2007)	er and Facebook to speak to the
Communication Plan Goals:		
Goal:	Deadline:	Met?

Goal: ______ Deadline: _____ Met? _____

References

- Altes, K. (2009). Young professionals effect change in the workplace. *Journal of Property Management*, 74(5), 44-47.
- Barnes, N. (2009). Reaching the wired generation: How social media is changing college admission. *National Association for College Admission Counseling*. Retrieved from http://www.nacacnet.org/publicationsresources/marketplace/discussion/pages/socialmediadiscussionpaper. aspx.
- Barnes, N. G. & Lescault, A.M. (2012). Higher ed documents social media ROI: New communications tools are a game changer. *UMass Dartmouth*.
- Barnes, N. G., & Mattson, E. (2010). Social media and college admissions: Higher-ed beats business in adoption of new tools for third year. *University of Massachusetts–Dartmouth Center for Marketing Research*.
- Barnes, N. G., & Mattson, E. (2009). Social media and college admissions: The first longitudinal study. *Center For Marketing Research*.
- Chaleff, I. (2012). Courageous followers, courageous leaders. In W.E. Rosenbach, R.L. Taylor, & M.A. Youndt (Eds.), *Contemporary issues in leadership* (89-93). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Cooper, A. N. (2012). Technology mediated recruitment: An exploration of how students used social media to choose college. *Masters Theses*. Retrieved from http://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/773
- Davis III, C. H., Deil-Amen, R., Rios-Aguilar, C., & Gonzalez Canche, M. S. (2012). Social media in higher education: A literature review and research directions. *The Center for the Study of Higher Education at The University of Arizona* and *Claremont Graduate University*, 1-26.
- Elam, C., Stratton, T., & Gibson, D. D. (2007). Welcoming a new generation to college: The millennial students. *Journal of College Admission*, (195), 20-25.
- Evans, N.J., Forney, D.S., Guido, F.M., Patton, L.D., & Renn, K.A. (2010). *Student development in college*: Theory, research, and practice (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Lindbeck, R., & Fodrey, B. (2010). Using technology in undergraduate admission: A student perspective. *Journal of College Admission*, (208), 10-17.
- Lindbeck, R., & Fodrey, B. (2009). Using technology in undergraduate admission: Current practices and future plans. *Journal of College Admission*, (204), 25-30.

- Lewison, D. M., & Hawes, J. M. (2007). Student target marketing strategies for universities. *Journal of College Admission*, 196, 14-19.
- Luckerson, V. (2012). When colleges look up applicants on facebook: The unspoken admissions test. *Time U.S.*Retrieved from http://nation.time.com/2012/11/15/when-colleges-look-up-applicants-on-facebook-the-unspoken-new-admissions-test/.
- Quish, R. (2010). Social media: Creating richer relationships in the workplace. *People & Strategy*, 33(3), 7.
- Rosenbach, W.E., Taylor, R.L., & Youndt, M.A. (Eds.). (2012). Hazards. In W.E. Rosenbach, R.L. Taylor, & M.A. Youndt (Eds.), *Contemporary issues in leadership* (171-173). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Sashkin, M. (2012). Leadership. In W.E. Rosenbach, R.L. Taylor, & M.A. Youndt (Eds.), *Contemporary issues in leadership* (7-19). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Selwyn, N. (2011). Social media in higher education. The Europa World of Learning 2012. 1-10.
- The Lawlor Group (2012). Trends for 2012: Five marketplace realities and how private higher education must manage them. *Lawlor: Intelligent Marketing Solutions for Education*.